

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
Alexandria Division**

MICROSOFT CORPORATION, a Washington corporation,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	Civil Action No:
v.)	
)	
JOHN DOES 1-2, CONTROLLING A COMPUTER NETWORK THEREBY INJURING PLAINTIFF AND ITS CUSTOMERS,)	FILED UNDER SEAL PURSUANT TO LOCAL CIVIL RULE 5
)	
Defendants.)	
)	
)	

**MICROSOFT’S EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR AN EMERGENCY TEMPORARY
RESTRAINING ORDER AND
ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION**

Plaintiff Microsoft Corporation (“Microsoft”), by counsel, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 65(b) and (c), the Computer Fraud and Abuse Act (18 U.S.C. § 1030), the Electronic Communications Privacy Act (18 U.S.C. § 2701), the Lanham Act (15 U.S.C. §§ 1114, 1116, & 1125), the common law, and the All Writs Act (28 U.S.C. § 1651), respectfully moves the Court for an emergency *ex parte* temporary restraining order and an order to show cause why a preliminary injunction should not issue.

As discussed in Microsoft’s brief in support of this Application, Microsoft requests an order disabling a number of Internet Domains through which John Does 1-2 (“Defendants”) infect user computers with malicious software that puts the infected computers under the control of individuals and organizations who use them for illegal activities, including unauthorized

intrusion into computers, the theft of end-user's personal and sensitive information, and infringing Microsoft's trademarks.


The requested relief is necessary to halt the growth of Defendants' unlawful activity. As discussed in Microsoft's brief in support of this Application, *ex parte* relief is essential because if Defendants are given prior notice, they will be able to destroy, move, conceal, or otherwise make inaccessible the facilities through which Defendants direct the harmful Nickel activity and will significantly impede, if not preclude, Microsoft's ability to obtain effective relief against Defendants. That is because Defendants are highly-sophisticated cybercriminals capable of quickly adapting the command and control infrastructure used to secretly establish themselves on a victim's network.

Microsoft's Application is based on: this Application; Microsoft's Brief In Support Of This Application; the Declarations of Christopher Coy and Gabriel M. Ramsey in support of Microsoft's Application and the exhibits attached thereto; the pleadings on file in this action; and on such argument and evidence as may be presented at the hearing on this Application.

Microsoft further respectfully requests oral argument on this motion to be set for December 2, 2021 or as soon thereafter as the Court deems possible.

Dated: December 1, 2021

Respectfully submitted,



David J. Ervin (VA BAR No. 34719)
Garylene Javier (*pro hac vice*)
CROWELL & MORING LLP
1001 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
Washington DC 20004-2595
Telephone: (202) 624-2500
Fax: (202) 628-5116
dervin@crowell.com
gjavier@crowell.com

Gabriel M. Ramsey (*pro hac vice*)
Kayvan Ghaffari (*pro hac vice*)
CROWELL & MORING LLP
3 Embarcadero Center, 26th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111
Telephone: (415) 986-2800
Fax: (415) 986-2827
gramsey@crowell.com
kghaffari@crowell.com

Richard Domingues Boscovich (*pro hac vice*)
MICROSOFT CORPORATION
One Microsoft Way
Redmond, WA 98052-6399
Telephone: (425) 704-0867
Fax: (425) 936-7329
rbosco@microsoft.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Microsoft Corp.